Roundtable Discussion Notes  
Winter Advising Forum, 2009  

**Group 1: Peer Advising Programs**  
**Participants:** Olivia Chan, Claudia Parrish, Sara Walsh, Andrea Legg  
**Notes:**  
- Advantages of peer advising programs: add student perception to advising office; benefit to students who learn leadership and communication skills.  
- Training:  
  - Shadow advisor; set clear expectations; provide an ‘official’ interactive space.  
  - Course selection; resources; program planning; peer adviser website.  
  - Being part of a greater team of student leaders.  
  - Their experience is not a universal experience.  
  - Key is to know when NOT to advise.

**Group 2: Working with Students on Complicated Developmental Issues**  
**Participants:** Young Kim, Maria Acosta-Smith, Lupe Allen  
**Notes:**  
- Independence: developing a sense of self.  
- Suggestions: foster programs to develop community, programming to develop sense of self. Involve residential life. Definitely a team oriented and multidisciplinary effort.  
- Technology as social networks and how they affect development of self responsibility.  
- Balancing resources available with special needs, keeping in mind the academic mission and the community being impacted.  
- Challenge for students to take all courses seriously.

**Group 3: Working effectively with students in academic difficulty**  
**Participants:** Elizabeth Alsberg, Marie Yoo, Denise Booth, Maria Mata, Lilly Pinedo, Kalin McGraw, Jill Schontag, Amy Hamel  
**Notes:**  
- One on one contact to identify their struggles outside of academics.  
- Simplify advising processes to allow us more time with students in need.  
- “College 101” or equivalent course to help them acclimate to college (or component of core course).  
- Create a positive, encouraging tone while acknowledging their academic reality.

**Group 4: Working effectively with students in academic difficulty (#2)**  
**Participants:** Lindsey Smith, Bob Giges, Alicia Marquez, Amy Hamel, Elaine Kihara, Cathy Shender, Greg Banks  
**Notes:**  
- Meet with an adviser 3x per quarter, self-reflection essay, Career Center, counseling, tutors, strict conditions for readmission.  
- Be strict in setting expectations.  
- Taking time off, courses at community college, change of major, official part-time program.  
- Lack of appropriate curriculum (classes) can lead to academic problems.  
- Making too many exceptions can lead to student failure.
Group 5: Sharing Ideas for Programs
Participants: Olivia Chan, Joan Walker, Donna Davis, Stephanie Bauman, Connie Creel, Marti Truhitte
Notes:
• Facebook groups can be used successfully with peer advisers.
• Email specific groups using Cognos downloads (UCSC messaging only for important information).
• Collaborating with residential life, clubs, or student groups helps with attendance (as does food).
• Choosing days for programming is important.
• Request RSVP’s.
• Generating ideas on programs: What do students want/need? Keep it current!
  • Career Center: “Finding a job in a tough job market.”
  • Check the class schedules for your target audience – what classes are they likely to be enrolled in? Don’t schedule during those times.
  • Announce programs at classes – not all instructors will allow, but some do. This allows you to target your audience – Cal Teach does this.
  • Program as part of a class if can get CEP course approval – Career Center is doing this.
  • Faculty support for programs – faculty support helps in promoting events.

Group 6: Adviser training and development
Participants: Glenda Dixon, Jamie Siegel, Danielle Niland, Inga Nikodem, Holly Nigorizama, Rebecca Heuer, Denise Moss, Teri Pennington, Stacey Sketo-Rosener
Notes:
• New employees would benefit from having a mentor.
• Centralized manual would be helpful, as would identifying common procedures that could be trained centrally vs. in units.
• Clearinghouse on website for professional advising resources.

Group 7: Curriculum Capacity
Participants: Maria Ruby, Julie Brower, Jessica Fiske Bailey, Roxanne Monnet, Tom Pistole, Debbie Belville
Notes:
• Workload challenges when staff must manage permission numbers.
• Difficulty with cutbacks in TA allocations to support classes.
• The campus needs to know the real maximum class capacity for planning and gating – “0” causes problems.
• Capacity problems with completing C1 and C2 in the first year.
• Problems result when departments can’t get large enough classrooms.

Group 8: Student Responsibility vs. Adviser Support: Where to draw the line?
Participants: Sarah Rogerson, Caroline Berger, Richard Vasquez, Joan Peterson, Courtney Hewitt
Notes:
• Develop ways to communicate our expectations.
• Determine what your personal boundaries are.
• Given them a “to-do” list – have them sign it.
• Remember we are teachers, too. Students need to learn consequences of missing deadlines, etc.
Group 9: Student Responsibility vs. Adviser Support: Where to draw the line? (#2)
Participants: Jude Pipes, Marianne Rebele, Michelle Taylor-Kalus, Alessandra Bicudo Alvares, Alice Hancock, Sally Lester, Sara Balder, Sarah Walsh
Notes:
• Important to be proactive in giving students resources and keeping lines of communication open (email!).
• Try not to intervene into a student/faculty interaction or situation.
• Have the student leave with instructions and a game plan and knowing that they can come back to you for help.
• Get a verbal confirmation from the student.
• Be clear with the student about what you can’t do, but most importantly what you CAN do.
• Hold workshops (students can help each other) – build peer support!

Group 10: Time management tips for advisers
Participants: Tamra Schmidt, Gina Ippolito, Lauren Reed, Virginia Carrillo, Emily Gregg, Shante Lewis, Adrienne Harrell
Notes:
• Designated times to check email.
• Turn off the ringer sometimes.
• Auto-reply with some FAQ’s, advising hours and times, to UCSC accounts.
• Communicating and managing expectations: if not now, when?
• Use templates and boiler plate language that can be personalized.
• Set up emails from list serves to go directly into specific folders.

Group 11: Graduate Advising
Participants: Carol Stoneburner, Nicolette Czarrunchick, Pam Hunt-Carter, Cathy Smith
Notes:
• Graduate advising is more administrative vs. mentoring (which faculty must provide at graduate level).
• Database system to tie in department shadow systems with AIS.
• Funding is a huge issue, both for admissions and continuing support.
• Faculty graduate advising is extremely important to relieve staff advisers in monitoring milestones and deadlines.

Group 12: Successfully Navigating Difficult Conversations with Parents
Participants: Valerie Van Gorder, Bob Taylor, Helen Mayer, Beth Wellik
Notes:
• Educating parents.
• Create a welcoming tone.
• Be rigorous about FERPA.
• Active listening.
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